

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,388	10/24/2001	Paul O. Sheppard	98-15C1	9996	
7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER		
	ones, J.D., Ph.D.	O HARA, EILEEN B			
ZymoGenetics, 1201 Eastlake A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Seattle, WA 9	98102	1646			
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	<b>.</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	•	A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10	10/033,388 SHEPPARD ET AL		AL.				
			caminer	Art Unit	<del></del>				
		Ei	leen O'Hara	1646					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun.	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address				
Period fo			OFT TO EVOIDE 4	14017((0) 50014					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. 0) days, a reply with tutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	. In no event, however, may in the statutory minimum of th oply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered tin  DNTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	tion requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority of	documents have bee	n received in this Nationa	al Stage				
	application from the Internation	•	• • • •						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of th	ne certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment	:(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	10/00/00/	6)  Other: _		• •				

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8 and 18, drawn to polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class
   530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 9-15 and 19, drawn to nucleic acids, vector, host cell, and method of recombinantly producing polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5, class 435, subclasses 320.1, 252.3 and 69.1, for example.
- III. Claims 16 and 20, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 350, subclass 388.22, for example.
- IV. Claim 17, in so far as it is drawn to method of detecting gene expression in a biological sample by nucleic acid hybridization, classified in class 435, subclass6.
- V. Claim 17, in so far as it is drawn to method of detecting gene expression in a biological sample by antibody binding, classified in class 436, subclass 501.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Each of inventions I, II and III are unrelated to the others. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptides, nucleic acids and antibodies are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities that have different structures.

Art Unit: 1646

Invention I is not related to each of inventions IV and V are unrelated. In the instant case the polypeptides are not used in the methods of detection.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids can be used in a method of detection by hybridization, but the nucleic acids can also be used in a method of recombinantly producing protein, which is a materially different method.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. In the instant case the nucleic acids are not used in the method of detection by the antibodies.

Inventions III and V are related as product and process of use. The antibodies can be used in the method of detecting the polypeptide, but the antibodies can also be used in a method of purifying protein, which is a materially different method.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. In the instant case the antibodies are not used in the method of detection by nucleic acids.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. In the instant case the methods require different starting materials (nucleic acids or antibodies) and method steps.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, separate search requirements and/or divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Rejoinder Under Ochiai/Brouwer

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04.

Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or notice of allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1646

103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, se <a href="http://pair-direct.ispto.gov">http://pair-direct.ispto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free). Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Elean B.O Wara